

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,016	HSIEH, YI-BIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M. Kinkead	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Arnold M. Kinkead.

(2) Mr. Stephen B. Schott.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


Uehara et al (of record)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and applicant's representative agreed on the change to claim 1 to further characterize that the XOR operation allowed for the output signal pulse as required with the same frequency as the differential signals...

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)